Filed Supreme Court of New Mexico 5/13/2024 10:11 AM Office of the Clerk

1

ŕ

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	Filing Date: May 13, 2024
3	NO. S-1-SC-40160
4 5	INQUIRY CONCERNING A JUDGE JSC Inquiry No. 2022-111
6 7 8	IN THE MATTER OF HON. DESERI SICHLER Valencia County Magistrate Court
9 10 11	Phyllis A. Dominguez Marcus J. Blais Albuquerque, NM
12	for Petitioner The New Mexico Judicial Standards Commission
13 14	Julio P. Garcia Albuquerque, NM
15	for Respondent I CERTIFY AND ATTEST: A true copy was served on all parties
16 17	or their counsel of record on date filed. PUBLIC CENSURE Mycole vigil Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of New Mexico
18	{1} This matter came before this Court on a petition to accept the <i>Stipulation</i>
19	Agreement and Consent to Discipline (Stipulation) between the Judicial Standards
20	Commission (Commission) and Hon. Deseri Sichler, a magistrate court judge in
21	Valencia County.

{2} We granted the petition and approved the terms of the *Stipulation* adopting the Commission's request and Judge Sichler's stipulation to issuance of a Public Censure. We now publish this Public Censure in the State Bar of New Mexico *Bar Bulletin* in accordance with our order, the *Stipulation*, and Rule jsc-36(C)(5) NMRA.

5

21

22

23

I.

1

2

3

4

BACKGROUND

A complaint was filed against Judge Sichler with the Commission. The 6 **{3**} Commission completed its initial investigation, including completion of an informal 7 8 conference, which allowed Judge Sichler to personally discuss the allegations with 9 the Commission. The Commission filed a notice of formal proceedings against Judge 10 Sichler on August 25, 2023. The Commission and Judge Sichler entered into the 11 Stipulation. As part of the Stipulation, Judge Sichler admitted to committing willful misconduct by engaging in the following acts, in violation of the Commission Rules: 12 13 A. During Judge Sichler's 2022 campaign for Valencia County Magistrate Court Judge, Judge Sichler acted as campaign treasurer 14 and personally accepted funds on behalf of her campaign, contrary 15 to NMSA [1978,] Section 1-19-34(A) [(2019)]. 16 17 B. On or about March 7, 2022, Judge Sichler listed Russel D. Schmidt as her campaign treasurer on the Secretary of State's Campaign 18 19 Finance portal/Campaign Finance Information System (CFIS) in her 20 bid for Valencia County Magistrate Court judge but failed to remove

him as treasurer when his consent was withdrawn and then began to act as her own treasurer until September 16, 2022, contrary to NMSA [1978,] Section 1-19-29(I) [(2019)]. C. On or about March 7, 2022, Judge Sichler created the *Committee to Elect Deseri Sichler* and listed herself as the sole contact person for the Committee. All contact information for the Committee, advertisements and her website contained her personal contact information including email, phone number, and mailing address.

Stipulation at 2.¹

Judge Sichler agrees that her conduct violated the following Rules of the Code
of Judicial Conduct and committed willful misconduct in office: Rule 21-101
NMRA (requiring compliance with the law), Rule 21-102 NMRA (promoting
confidence in the judiciary), Rule 21-402 NMRA (requiring compliance with
election campaign laws), and Rule 21-404 NMRA (requiring campaign committees
to be established). *Stipulation* at 2. Based upon these admitted violations, Judge
Sichler agreed to receive a Public Censure to be published in the State Bar of New
Mexico *Bar Bulletin. Id.* For the reasons discussed below, we issue this censure.

II. DISCUSSION

16 {5} Article VI, Section 32 of the New Mexico Constitution creates the
17 Commission and provides that "any justice, judge or magistrate of any court may be
18 disciplined or removed for willful misconduct in office." We have defined willful

¹All references to agreements between the Commission and Judge Sichler, aside from the *Stipulation* filed, allude to conversations had between the parties.

misconduct in office as "improper and wrong conduct of a judge acting in [the 1 2 judge's] official capacity done intentionally, knowingly, and, generally, in bad faith. 3 It is more than a mere error of judgment or an act of negligence." In re Locatelli, 2007-NMSC-029, ¶ 8, 141 N.M. 755, 161 P.3d 252 (citation omitted). In imposing 4 discipline, we must be satisfied that willful misconduct is proven by clear and 5 convincing evidence. Id. ¶ 7. "There need not be clear and convincing evidence to 6 support each and every one of the Commission's evidentiary findings. Rather, we 7 8 must be satisfied by clear and convincing evidence that there is willful judicial misconduct which merits discipline." In re Castellano, 1995-NMSC-007, ¶ 37, 119 9 10 N.M. 140, 889 P.2d 175; accord In re Schwartz, 2011-NMSC-019, ¶ 13, 149 N.M. 11 721, 255 P.3d 299.

Judge Sichler agrees that she violated Rules 21-101, 21-102, 21-402, and 21-404 of the Code of Judicial Conduct. *Stipulation* at 2. While the Code of Judicial
Conduct provides "some proof of what constitutes appropriate judicial conduct,"
violations of the Code "do not control the issue of whether discipline should be
imposed." *Locatelli*, 2007-NMSC-029, ¶ 8 (citation omitted). We agree that Judge
Sichler's conduct merits discipline, and for that reason, she should be formally
reprimanded by censure.

1 The preamble to the Code of Judicial Conduct states, "An independent, fair, {7} 2 and impartial judiciary is indispensable to our system of justice." Rule 21-001(A) 3 NMRA. To promote the public's trust and to maintain and enhance confidence in the legal system, "[j]udges should maintain the dignity of judicial office at all times 4 5 and avoid both impropriety and the appearance of impropriety in their professional and personal lives." Rule 21-001(B). The principles of the Code of Judicial Conduct 6 require a judge to "act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in 7 the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary." Rule 21-102. 8

9 {8} We agree that the stipulated facts support the conclusion that Judge Sichler
10 violated Rules 21-101, 21-102, 21-402, and 21-404 of the Code of Judicial Conduct.
11 In violating the Code, Judge Sichler failed to follow and comply with election
12 campaign laws and failed to uphold the public's confidence in the integrity and
13 impartiality of the judicial election process.

Rule 21-101 requires a judge to "respect and comply with the law, including the Code of Judicial Conduct." Rule 21-102 requires a judge to "act at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary" and to "avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety." *See also id.* comm. cmt. 5 ("Actual improprieties include violations of law, court rules, or provisions of this Code. The test for appearance of impropriety is whether the conduct would create in reasonable minds a perception that the judgeviolated this Code or engaged in other conduct that reflects adversely on the judge'shonesty, impartiality, temperament, or fitness to serve as a judge.").

1

2

3

4 **{10}** Judge Sichler's actions violated Rules 21-101 and 21-102 of the Code of 5 Judicial Conduct. Judge Sichler was required to designate a treasurer to file expenditure reports pursuant to Section 1-19-29(I). The treasurer she named in the 6 7 expenditure report withdrew his consent, and Judge Sichler then acted as her own 8 treasurer. This action was contrary to statute and thus was a violation of Rule 21-101 ("A judge shall respect and comply with the law"). Acting as treasurer 9 10 allowed Judge Sichler to know who contributed to her campaign and know the 11 monetary amounts of those contributions. Judge Sichler had intimate knowledge of campaign rules, having sought the office of treasurer for Valencia County in 2020. 12 Judge Sichler's conduct created actual impropriety by violating Section 1-19-29(I) 13 and is contrary to Rule 21-102 ("A judge ... shall avoid impropriety and the 14 appearance of impropriety."). 15

Rule 21-402(A)(1)(b) requires judges to "comply with all applicable election,
election campaign, and election campaign fundraising laws and regulations." Rule
21-402(A)(1)(e) requires that judges, "if intending to accept funds from others or
expend funds in excess of one thousand dollars (\$1,000), establish a campaign

committee pursuant to the provisions of Rule 21-404." Rule 21-402(A)(2)(a) prohibits a judge from seeking to discover who has contributed to either the judge's own campaign or to the judge's opponent. Rule 21-404(A) states, in part, that "Candidates shall not personally solicit or personally accept contributions for their own campaigns.... The candidate shall take reasonable steps to ensure that his or her campaign committee complies with applicable provisions of this Code and other applicable law."

8 Judge Sichler, acting as her own campaign treasurer, violated both Rule 21-**{12}** 402 and Rule 21-404 of the Code of Judicial Conduct. Section 62-19-34(A) of the 9 10 Campaign Reporting Act requires judicial candidates to have a treasurer who is not 11 the candidate. Judge Sichler failed to set up a valid campaign committee. Rule 21-402(A)(1)(e) requires a judicial candidate planning on accepting donations to set up 12 a campaign committee pursuant to Rule 21-404. "This rule restricts contributions for 13 14 campaigns for judicial office to sources and amounts that do not create an appearance of impropriety." Rule 21-402 comm. cmt. 1. Rule 21-404 requires a judicial 15 16 candidate to set up a campaign committee to avoid personally soliciting or accepting 17 contributions to the candidate's own campaign. Judge Sichler set up a campaign 18 committee through the Secretary of State entitled The Committee to Elect Deseri 19 Sichler. Judge Sichler was the sole member of the Committee. She listed her

personal phone number, home address, and personal email as the contact for the
 committee.

3 Judge Sichler agrees that the violations of the rules erode the public's {13} confidence in her ability to follow the law. The violations also reflect negatively on 4 the New Mexico judiciary as a whole and are prejudicial to the effective 5 6 administration of justice. Pursuant to this Court's power to discipline judges under 7 the New Mexico Constitution Article VI, Section 32, and the Court's power of 8 superintending control under the New Mexico Constitution Article VI, Section 3, Judge Sichler shall receive a Public Censure. Acceptance of judicial discipline 9 10 protects the public, preserves the public's confidence in the integrity, independence, 11 and impartiality of the judicial system, and enforces the standards of conduct 12 established by Code of Judicial Conduct. See Rule 21-216 NMRA comm. cmt. 13 ("Cooperation with investigations and proceedings of judicial ... discipline 14 agencies . . . instills confidence in judges' commitment to the integrity of the judicial system and the protection of the public."). 15

16 {14} This Court has considered the admitted facts and violations of the Code of 17 Judicial Conduct and the approved *Stipulation*, and we hereby publicly censure 18 Judge Sichler for willfully violating the established rules and standards that govern 19 every New Mexico judge's conduct. We issue this Public Censure to strengthen the

public's confidence in the integrity, impartiality, and independence of the judiciary 1 2 and to remind all judges that misconduct which erodes the public's confidence will 3 not be tolerated.

For the foregoing reasons Hon. Deseri Sichler is hereby publicly censured for 4 {15} 5 her admitted willful misconduct as set forth fully in the Stipulation which this Court accepted, adopted, and confirmed. 6

{16}

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DAVID K. **THOMSON**, Chief Justice

GIL, Justice

12 13 14 15

C. SHANNON BA CON, Justice

ИЪ Иıı

ÍORA

M

ustice

BRIANA